

SUMMARY of Response to Comments Received from MOECC

Track Change Comment #	MOECC Comments from DRAFT #3 of MEA Companion Guide (rev01 – October 2017)	Comment Addressed in Final Version	MEA View Not to Include in Final Version
Track-change Edits	<i>Where names of MOECC offices, departments, etc were revised (track changes from MOECC), these were incorporated throughout the document</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1	Consider adding information about when EA proponenty is not an option.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2	If it is decided a Developer will be the proponent MOECC wants to note they (MOECC) expect heavy involvement of the municipality in the EA process with written evidence of this involvement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3	Add a warning note that this decision cannot be used to avoid EA process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4	Asking for clarification for clearer direction on deciding what schedule an undertaking by a developer should be through conditions of approval of a development application. Need input from MEA.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	MOECC seeking additional details in describing conditions of approval under the Planning Act (like making sure environmental reports are completed as would be required in an EA)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Track-change Edits	<i>A.2.7 Codes of Practice – the reference to the Province’s “draft” Guide for Climate Change in EA has now been changed to acknowledge this Guide is no longer draft.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Consider re-wording: “ecological” referenced as a system	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Clarification about implication that municipal planning and not EA process will determine extent to which CC mitigation occurs in a community	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Consider acknowledging that there should be demonstration of effort to try and come up with some CC evaluation. <i>The concept of incorporating some CC criteria in a decision matrix was offered as a suggestion to proponents to demonstrate this consideration with varying degrees of detail, depending on the complexity of the project.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9	Noting MOECC program has CC guidance information for proponents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUMMARY of Response to Comments Received from MOECC

Track Change Comment #	MOECC Comments from DRAFT #3 of MEA Companion Guide (rev01 – October 2017)	Comment Addressed in Final Version	MEA View Not to Include in Final Version
10	Add word ‘criteria’	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	Additional clarity requested regarding comment around decision to use tool like PIEVC protocol	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	Request to expand comment 4) regarding decision regarding project made outside community.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13	Additional wording about not piecemealing projects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	Use of the word Indigenous	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15	Use of MOECC form for PIOR – <i>no change until new form is provided</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
16 - 20	PIOR process – editorial changes made <i>NOTE - additional information required from MOECC (PIOR Form). Further changes to Companion Guide forthcoming as process is modified</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21	Municipal Council – note about all parties needing to be heard and considered in the process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Track-change Edits	Section A.3.6 Consultation (Review Agencies) – <i>track changes were accepted</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Track-change Edits	Section A.3.7 First Nations and Aboriginal Peoples – <i>track changes were accepted</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22	Clarification about pumping station within a treatment plant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
23 - 24	Consider clarifying emergency operations in replacement of structure and examples used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
25	Utility corridors – additional explanation about how these are represented in OP’s or other planning documents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
26	Appendix 1 – projects included in commentary about stand-by power exemption due to O.Reg. 116/01	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	Appendix 1 – consider adding whether failure to consider delivery of electricity includes non-payment of bills	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>